

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,366	03/06/2001	Roland A. Wood	H0001512 (256.087US1)	3295
21186	7590 03/18/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			LEE, SHUN K	
	P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIII VI VIZI II O	2210, 1111 23 102		2878	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del>(\Phi\</del>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/800,366	WOOD, ROLAND A.	····
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shun Lee	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli  i) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	d
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee until the final Office action; or (2) as set forton.	e nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendm	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place th	те
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	.*.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27,29-39</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	CONST PRI	ANTINE HANNAHER MARY EXAMINER IP ART UNIT 2878	
		20/0	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the cited reference does not describes the claimed use of two or more bias pulses during a frame time and cites US Patent 5,420,419 column 3, lines 40-54. Examiner respectfully disagrees. US Patent 5,420,419 column 3, lines 40-54 states "The iris may be closed momentarily (e.g., after camera manufacture, or at camera start-up) to allow the image processor 80 to average several image frames and store this digital data in a long-lived digital memory (which may be in the image processor systems). A simple expedient of a lens cap or shutter may be employed instead, if desired. During normal camera operation the iris 72 remains permanently open, or partially closed if it is desired to reduce the radiation intensity falling on the focal plane. The image processor subtracts the incoming signals from the digital data in its long-lived memory on a pixel-by-pixel basis. This provides offset correction for each pixel in the image to be viewed by a human observer, a requirement and process well known to those in the art". Thus it is clear that the passage cited by applicant relates to obtaining correction data using a process well known to those in the art. Moreover, US Patent 5,420,419 (Wood) Fig. 6 illustrates the effect of the application of pulse bias voltage (two are shown) to the passive elements of the focal plane array over time (see also US 5,420,419 column 6, lines 18-34) and US Patent 5,675,149 (Wood et al.) column 5, lines 47-53 states "If desired, slower slide velocities, or multiple scans of any desired region of the scene, can be employed to allow sensitivity improvement by multiple measurement and averaging of sensor signals: in this case, a stable platform for example, a tripod mounting of the camera may be required, analogous to long exposures of visible photographic still frame cameras". Thus analogous to long exposures of visible photographic still frame cameras, each scan of multiple scans have a pulse bias voltage applied to the focal plane array passive elements wherein the resulting multiple sensor signal measurements are then averaged. Therefore during the exposure time for producing a complete image (i.e., the frame time), the complete image was produced from an average of multiple sensor signal measurements wherein each measurement was obtained by the application of a pulse bias voltage to the focal plane array passive elements.